UNITED STATES DISTRICT COULSOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YO		
		X
FRANKIE PEREZ,	etitioner,	: 13 Civ. 3025 (DLC) : 08 Cr. 798 : ORDER
- V -		: ORDER
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Re	espondent.	BURNERONICALIA AFUBD
		X
DENISE COTE, District Judge	e:	DATE GROSS 5/15 /13

On May 3, 2013, the <u>Pro Se</u> Office received petitioner

Frankie Perez's ("Perez") motion to vacate his sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. A judgment of conviction was entered against Perez on April 6, 2009 by this Court. Perez appealed his conviction to the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, which affirmed his conviction on September 23, 2010. <u>See United States v. Perez</u>, 396 Fed. App'x 711 (2d Cir. 2010). There is no indication in the record that Perez filed a petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Supreme Court.

The Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996
("AEDPA") provides for a one-year period of limitations for a
federal inmate to file a habeas petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2255.
The limitations period runs from the latest of:

- (1) the date on which the judgment of conviction becomes final;
- (2) the date on which the impediment to making a motion created by governmental action in violation of the

Constitution or laws of the United States is removed, if the movant was prevented from making a motion by such governmental action;

(3) the date on which the right asserted was initially recognized by the Supreme Court, if that right has been newly recognized by the Supreme Court and made retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review; or (4) the date on which the facts supporting the claim or claims presented could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence.

## 28 U.S.C. § 2255.

A conviction becomes final for AEDPA purposes "when the time expires for filing a petition for certiorari contesting the appellate court's affirmation of the conviction." Clay v.

United States, 537 U.S. 522 (2003). A petition for writ of certiorari to the Supreme Court must be filed within ninety days after the entry of judgment by the Court of Appeals. See

S.Ct.R. 13(1). The ninety-day period for filing the petition runs "from the date of entry of the judgment or order sought to be reviewed, and not from the issuance date of the mandate."

S.Ct.R. 13(3). Accordingly, Perez's conviction can be considered final as of December 22, 2010. Unless one of the above exceptions applies, his petition is barred by AEDPA's statute of limitations.

The one-year limitations period for Section 2255 petitions may be equitably tolled, however, where a petitioner shows "(1)

Case 1:08-cr-00798-DLC Document 29 Filed 05/15/13 Page 3 of 4

that he has been pursuing his rights diligently, and (2) that

some extraordinary circumstances stood in his way and prevented

timely filing." Rivas v. Fischer, 687 F.3d 514, 538 (2d Cir.

2012) (citation omitted). In addition to demonstrating the

existence of extraordinary circumstances, the petitioner must

show "that those circumstances caused him to miss the original

filing deadline." Harper v. Ercole, 648 F.3d 132, 137 (2d Cir.

2011).

Accordingly, Perez is directed to show cause by affirmation

by July 15, 2013, why the one-year statute of limitations should

not bar his petition. No answer shall be required at this time,

and all further proceedings shall be stayed for sixty days from

the date of this Order. If Perez fails to comply with this

Order by July 15, 2013, his petition will be dismissed as time-

barred.

SO ORDERED:

Dated:

New York, New York

May 15, 2013

PÉNISE COTE

United Stat/es District Judge

3

## COPIES MAILED TO:

Frankie Perez 61269-054 Federal Correctional Institution P.O. Box 340 Salters, SC 29590